Reusing a trainControl





A real-world example

- The data: customer churn at telecom company
- Fit different models and choose the best
- Models must use the same training/test splits
- Create a shared trainControl object





Example: customer churn data

- # Summarize the target variables
- > library(caret)
- > library(C50)
- > data(churn)
- > table(churnTrain\$churn) / nrow(churnTrain)

```
yes
                 no
0.1449145 0.8550855
```

```
# Create train/test indexes
```

```
> set.seed(42)
```

> myFolds <- createFolds(churnTrain\$churn, k = 5)</pre>

```
# Compare class distribution
```

- > i <- myFolds\$Fold1</pre>
- > table(churnTrain\$churn[i]) / length(i)

```
yes
                 no
0.1441441 0.8558559
```







Example: customer churn data

- > myControl <- trainControl(</pre> summaryFunction = twoClassSummary, classProbs = TRUE, verboseIter = TRUE, savePredictions = TRUE, index = myFolds
- Use folds to create a trainControl object
- Exact same cross-validation folds for each model



Let's practice!



Reintroduce glmnet





glmnet review

- Linear model with built-in variable selection
- Great baseline model
- Advantages
 - Fits quickly
 - Ignores noisy variables
 - Provides interpretable coefficients





Example: glmnet on churn data

```
> # Fit the model
> set.seed(42)
> model_glmnet <- train(</pre>
    churn ~ ., churnTrain,
    metric = "ROC",
    method = "glmnet",
    tuneGrid = expand.grid(
      alpha = 0:1,
      lambda = 0:10/10
    ),
    trControl = myControl
   Plot the results
> #
> plot(model_glmnet)
```













Let's practice!



Reintroduce random forest





Random forest review

- Slower to fit than glmnet
- Less interpretable
- Often (but not always) more accurate than glmnet
- Easier to tune
- Require little preprocessing
- Capture threshold effects and variable interactions





Random forest on churn data

```
> set.seed(42)
> churnTrain$churn <- factor(churnTrain$churn, levels = c("no", "yes"))</pre>
> model_rf <- train(</pre>
    churn ~ ., churnTrain,
    metric = "ROC",
    method = "ranger",
    trControl = myControl
```





Random forest on churn data

> plot(model_rf)



#Randomly Selected Predictors



Let's practice!



Comparing models





Comparing models

- Make sure they were fit on the same data!
- Selection criteria
 - Highest average AUC
 - Lowest standard deviation in AUC
- The resamples () function is your friend







Example: resamples() on churn data

```
# Make a list
> model_list <- list(</pre>
    glmnet = model_glmnet,
    rf = model_rf
# Collect resamples from the CV folds
> resamps <- resamples(model_list)</pre>
> resamps
Call:
resamples.default(x = model_list)
Models: glmnet, rf
Number of resamples: 5
Performance metrics: ROC, Sens, Spec
Time estimates for: everything, final model fit
```





Summarize the results

```
# Summarize the results
> summary(resamps)
Call:
summary.resamples(object = resamps)
Models: glmnet, rf
Number of resamples: 5
ROC
        Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd
glmnet 0.7526 0.7624 0.7719 0.7686
                                    0.7
rf
      0.8984 0.9028 0.9077 0.9061
                                   0.9
```

Qu. 722 093	Max. 0.7840 0.9125	NA's 0 0	



Let's practice!



More on resamples





Comparing models

- Resamples has tons of cool methods
- One of my favorite functions (thanks Max!)
- Inspired the caretEnsemble package







Box-and-whisker

> bwplot(resamps, metric = "ROC")







Dot plot

> dotplot(resamps, metric = "ROC")







Density plot











rf





Another dot plot





Let's practice!





MACHINE LEARNING TOOLBOX

Summary





What you've learned

- How to use the caret package
- Model fitting and evaluation
- Parameter tuning for better results
- Data preprocessing





Goals of the caret package

- Simplify the predictive modeling process
- Make it easy to try many models and techniques
- Provide common interface to many useful packages



Go build some models!

Ø

